

# DUTCH VALIDATION OF THE SELF-EVALUATION OF NEGATIVE SYMPTOMS

Tim van Brouwershaven<sup>1</sup>, Anika Poppe<sup>1,2</sup>, Gerdina H.M. Pijnenborg<sup>2,3</sup>, André Aleman<sup>2,4</sup>, Sonia Dollfus<sup>5</sup>, PHAMOUS-researchers, Lisette van der Meer<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lentis Psychiatric Institute, Zuidlaren, The Netherlands. <sup>2</sup>University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands. <sup>3</sup>GGZ Drenthe, Assen, The Netherlands. <sup>4</sup>University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands. <sup>5</sup>Psychiatry Department, University of Caen Normandy, France.

E-mail: t.vanbrouwershaven1@lentis.nl

## BACKGROUND

**Negative symptoms** are common in severe mental illness (SMI) and contribute to **impaired social functioning** and a **lower quality of life**.

Assessments are observer based and lack content validity. **Self-rating scales** could complement current assessment tools.

The **Self-Evaluation of Negative Symptoms (SNS)** is a 20-item questionnaire addressing 5 domains of negative symptoms and demonstrated adequate psychometric properties.

## STUDY AIM

To evaluate the **psychometric properties** of the Dutch translation of the SNS in people with **SMI**.

## METHODS

**Participants:** 340 service users with 1) a psychotic disorder and 2) 18 years or older.

**Internal consistency** assessed by *Cronbach's Alpha*

**Construct validity** assessed by *Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)* which measures the *Comparative Fit Index*, *Tucker-Lewis Index* and the *Root mean square error of approximation*

**Convergent & discriminant validity** assessed by *Correlational analyses* of SNS with *PANSS*, *GAF*, *HoNOS*, *MANSA* & *Functional remission*

## RESULTS

### Construct validity

<b>Comparative Fit Index</b>	0.987
<b>Tucker-Lewis Index</b>	0.984
<b>Root mean square error of approximation</b>	0.035

### Internal consistency

	<b>Cronbachs alpha</b>
<b>SNS total</b>	0.88
<b>Social withdrawal</b>	0.74
<b>Reduced emotional range</b>	0.64
<b>Alogia</b>	0.74
<b>Avolition</b>	0.81
<b>Anhedonia</b>	0.73

### Correlational analyses

	<b>PANSS total</b>	<b>PANSS positive</b>	<b>PANSS negative</b>	<b>PANSS general</b>	<b>HoNOS</b>	<b>GAF</b>	<b>FR</b>	<b>MANSA</b>
<b>SNS total</b>	0.44***	0.38***	0.39***	0.41***	0.32***	-0.18	0.28***	-0.43***
<b>Social withdrawal</b>	0.40***	0.39***	0.37***	0.34***	0.30***	-0.23*	0.27***	-0.29***
<b>Blunted affect</b>	0.28***	0.26***	0.27***	0.24**	0.18*	-0.074	0.16	-0.11
<b>Alogia</b>	0.31***	0.22**	0.29***	0.31***	0.20*	-0.09	0.12	-0.32***
<b>Avolition</b>	0.35***	0.30***	0.29***	0.33***	0.29***	-0.11	0.27***	-0.44***
<b>Anhedonia</b>	0.33***	0.28***	0.29***	0.32***	0.22*	-0.19	0.20*	-0.40***

\*p<0.01; \*\*p<0.001; \*\*\*p<0.0001

## CONCLUSIONS

The Dutch version of the SNS shows **good internal consistency** & is comparable to previous translations. **Confirmatory factor analysis** confirms that the model has a **good fit**.

**Correlational analyses** demonstrate good convergent validity, but fail to show discriminant validity.

## TAKE HOME MESSAGE

The Dutch SNS shows good psychometric properties and can be used to self-assess negative symptoms.